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1 Performance baselines and recommendations

Note: Published performance metrics for Relativity 9.5 are added as they become available and are considered final.

This documentation provides an overview of performance metrics and pertinent recommendations for Relativity 9.5. For features capable of utilizing either SQL or Data Grid, performance testing was done on both systems and noted accordingly.

See these related pages:

1.1 Performance baselines and recommendations considerations

Unless otherwise noted, the following all hold true for Relativity 9.5 Performance Metrics:

- All results are based on the EDRM Enron V2 data set. For more information about the data set details, see Data set details on page 26. For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see Environment details on page 27.

  Note: As of Relativity 9.3, the processor model on the performance Virtual Machines was changed from 4 x Intel E5-4627 v2 3.3Ghz 8C Processors to 4 x Intel E5-4620 v2 3.3Ghz 8C Processors. Note that performance in 9.5 may be affected by this processor model update.

- All tests were each performed individually on the environment, without any additional tests occurring simultaneously.

- Memory on all relevant machines was cleared immediately prior to running the tests in order to eliminate any plausible effects of data caching.

- All performance results have a 5% variance, and the results may not scale linearly.

For any questions, or to receive a copy of the data sets used in this performance test, please contact support@relativity.com.
2 dtSearch index build and Search Terms Report

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

Relativity’s dtSearch engine provides advanced search functionality such as proximity, stemming, and fuzzy searches. It also supports the use of Boolean operators and custom noise word lists as well as the basic searching features available in keyword searches.

Relativity’s Search Terms Report (STR) simplifies the process of identifying documents that contain a specific group of keywords.

2.1 Data Grid and Search Terms Report results

For Performance testing purposes, each term within the STR was a simple keyword; no advanced searching functions were present. For example, proximity search, Boolean conditions, or wildcards. 10,000 terms, each of which matched at least 1,000 documents, were chosen as the terms used for the terms used in the STR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Execution time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
<th>Terms/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dtSearch Index Build</td>
<td>00:33:52</td>
<td>1,959,202</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtSearch Index Build - Data Grid</td>
<td>01:02:39</td>
<td>1,062,490</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtSearch Search Terms Report - 10,000 terms</td>
<td>00:45:23</td>
<td>1,453,934</td>
<td>13,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid Search Terms Report - 10,000 terms</td>
<td>00:16:38</td>
<td>3,946,399</td>
<td>35,714</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 dtSearch index build and STR settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>dtSearch index build and STR settings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Searchable set</td>
<td>All documents in workspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Documents</td>
<td>1,104,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fields Included</td>
<td>Extracted Text, Document Identifier, Group Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search Terms Report Manager Agent</td>
<td>1 STR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dtSearch Search Manager Agent</td>
<td>1 STR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of worker agents</td>
<td>1 dtSearch index build</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about the data set details, see Data set details on page 26.
For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see Environment details on page 27.

2.3 Performance recommendations

Refer to the information below when deciding how large to make a dtSearch sub-index.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Workspace document count</th>
<th>Recommended sub-index size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10 million</td>
<td>250,000 documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 million to 25 million</td>
<td>500,000 documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greater than 25 million</td>
<td>1,000,000 documents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Agent count** - often the easiest and most intuitive way of making dtSearch indexes build faster is to add more dtSearch index worker agents to the job. Only one agent can work on one sub-index. Adding more agents than the number of sub-indexes currently building will result in excess agents sitting idle.

- **Agent temporary directory** - you can now configure agent servers to use specific UNC paths for dtSearch builds, which allows system admins to segregate storage by agent server (reducing contention) or to use a NAS with faster write speeds (for building) without impacting the NAS which is optimized for reads (for searching).
  - Use caution when modifying this setting. In a virtual environment with shared storage, changing from the default may result in diminished performance due to additional data copy activities performed through the index build. Relativity recommends that you test any changes on your hardware before committing to any system-wide configuration changes.
  - If shared storage is involved or the local storage is not sufficiently isolated and fast, then you should use the default index share.

- **Agent server configuration** - for some other processes in Relativity, it is better to have many small agent servers, as opposed to a few large agent servers, or vice versa.

- **Subindex size** - this number represents the maximum size of each subindex and the number of subindexes. While less intuitive, this is perhaps the most critical setting to get right when building the index, as it impacts searching later. There are pros and cons to smaller indexes, such as the ability to make parallel at the cost of higher I/O cost. Finding a good default is a high priority.

- **Agent count** - as with other processes in Relativity, adding more agents tends to make things faster. Unlike other agents, however, the dtSearch search agent will utilize all resources on the server it is running on. Because of this, there should only ever be one search agent running on any given agent server.

- **Agent configuration** - adding more CPU cores to an existing search server will make searches faster without any additional configuration.
3 Structured Analytics

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

The Structured Analytics application provides the functionality to perform convenient actions such as email threading, textual near duplicate identification, language identification, and repeated content identification on records within a workspace.

Within the area of structured analytics, Relativity 9.4 and above utilize a new Textual Near Duplicate Identification algorithm. The algorithm greatly enhances performance for both large and complex data sets. The algorithm also allows users to scale their Analytics server by adding CPU cores and RAM in order to achieve faster performance.

### 3.1 Structured Analytics results

The following table provides a breakdown of Structured Analytics performance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Export duration</th>
<th>Structured Analytics operations duration</th>
<th>Import results duration</th>
<th>Total duration</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Language Identification</td>
<td>00:14:10</td>
<td>00:05:58</td>
<td>00:08:36</td>
<td>00:28:44</td>
<td>2,302,063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textual Near Duplicate Identification</td>
<td>00:14:05</td>
<td>00:13:56</td>
<td>00:08:30</td>
<td>00:36:31</td>
<td>1,817,418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeated Content Identification</td>
<td>00:14:40</td>
<td>00:08:23</td>
<td>00:08:12</td>
<td>00:31:15</td>
<td>2,124,981</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email Threading</td>
<td>00:13:59</td>
<td>00:30:05</td>
<td>00:09:42</td>
<td>00:53:46</td>
<td>1,227,767</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All operations</td>
<td>00:12:57</td>
<td>00:40:26</td>
<td>00:11:37</td>
<td>01:05:00</td>
<td>1,023,139</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Structured Analytics settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structured Analytics settings</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Content Analytics (CA) version</td>
<td>3.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structured Analytics Worker Agents</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Each Structured Analytics operation consists of three distinct phases:
1. **Export** - relevant document metadata and text is exported from Relativity to the Analytics engine.

2. **Structured Analytics operations** - the Analytics engine processes all documents, and determines the relevant information to be sent back to Relativity.

3. **Importing results** - the data that the Analytics engine extracted from the documents is sent back to Relativity through the Import API. This phase also includes job finalization and cleanup.

For more information about the data set details, see [Data set details on page 26](#).

For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see [Environment details on page 27](#).

### 3.3 Performance recommendations

The Relativity Analytics engine allows for adding CPU power to your Analytics server. Adding CPU power may result in performance improvements with larger data sets. Contact support@relativity.com for more detailed recommendations on scaling the Analytics server effectively.
4 Analytics

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

Relativity Analytics helps you organize and assess the semantic content of large, diverse and/or unknown sets of documents using various Analytics features which are built upon Analytics indices.

Unlike traditional searching methods, Analytics is an entirely mathematical approach to indexing documents. An Analytics index is made up of both a training set that the index learns from and a searchable set that is searched upon.

4.1 Analytics index build results

The Analytics index build was performed using the default searchable and training sets. Start time was measured as the time the first document was sent to the Analytics server, and end time was measured as when the last document became active and searchable. Indices were built to not automatically remove English email signatures and footers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Operation time (h:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytics Index Build</td>
<td>00:52:59</td>
<td>1,251,404</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.2 Analytics clustering results

Relativity clustering allows a user to create groups of conceptually similar documents utilizing an existing Analytics index.

Analytics clustering was performed in a new cluster on all documents in the workspace. Start time was measured as the time the mass operation was initiated, and end time was measured as the time the job was removed from the relevant queue in the database.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Operation time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Analytics Clustering</td>
<td>00:34:12</td>
<td>1,938,579</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4.3 Analytics categorization results

Relativity categorization allows a user to create a set of example documents that Analytics uses as the basis for identifying and grouping conceptually similar documents.

Each Analytics categorization was run using a new categorization set consisting of all documents within the set. Samples were taken by coding the first 1,000 or 5,000 documents with extracted text, sorted by ArtifactID ascending, and coding them to one of the categories. Times were recorded from audits of the categorization set.

The test scenarios in the following table use a batch size of AnalyticsCategorizationBatchSize = 100K.
The test scenarios in the following table use a batch size of AnalyticsCategorizationBatchSize = 50K.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Operation time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 1</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>00:17:08</td>
<td>3,877,158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 2</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>01:10:01</td>
<td>944,436</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scenario 3</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>01:10:45</td>
<td>936,432</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about the data set details, see Data set details on page 26.
For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see Environment details on page 27.
5 Import

To test the import performance of Relativity 9.5, the Relativity Desktop Client (RDC) was used to import a folder and its sub-folders from Relativity.

5.1 Native/Full Text import setup

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native + Full Text Imports setup</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong># of documents</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>File Transfer Mode</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bulk Share Configuration</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Default Repository Access</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overwrite</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parent Info</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Extracted Text</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Encoding for Undetectable Files</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.2 Native/Full Text import results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Import time</th>
<th>Records/hr</th>
<th>Avg Metadata transfer rate (MB/sec)</th>
<th>Avg SQL process rate (docs/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native/Full Text (Copy to Repository)</td>
<td>02:07:13</td>
<td>523,692</td>
<td>163.83</td>
<td>578</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5.3 Import fields

- 46 fields were imported:
  - 12 long text fields (including Extracted Text)
  - 18 fixed-length text fields
  - 2 whole number fields
  - 7 date fields
  - 1 yes-no field
  - 2 single-choice fields
  - 2 decimal fields
- 1 multi-choice field
- 1 single-object field
6 Export

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

To test the export Performance of Relativity 9.5, the Relativity Desktop Client (RDC) was used to export a folder and its sub-folders from Relativity.

All exports were completed in direct mode, copying files directly from the repository. Export was the only action executing on the machine. Images were exported as single-page tiffs. Of the 1,104,990 documents tested, 99,311 had images. Extracted Text was exported into separate files. All metrics are calculated using the total number of documents. The average file size of all documents exported was 0.11 MB.

6.1 Export results summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Export setup</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of documents</td>
<td>1,104,990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Transfer Mode</td>
<td>Direct Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of images</td>
<td>283,702</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of documents with images</td>
<td>99,311</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Default Repository Access</td>
<td>Direct Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text Precedence</td>
<td>Extracted text</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Text File Encoding</td>
<td>Western European</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following tables provide a breakdown of export performance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Records/hr</th>
<th>MB/hr</th>
<th>.dat size (MB)</th>
<th>Avg File Transfer Rate (MB/sec)</th>
<th>Metadata transfer rate includes SQL Processing (MB/sec)</th>
<th>Export time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>File size, natives + images (MB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Natives + Full Text + Images(Copy to Repository)</td>
<td>433,329</td>
<td>61,932</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>6.47</td>
<td>02:33:02</td>
<td>154,831</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6.2 Export fields

- Natives + metadata
  - 46 fields were exported:
    - 11 long text fields (including Extracted Text)
    - 18 fixed-length text fields
    - 2 whole number fields
    - 7 date fields
    - 1 yes-no field
    - 2 single-choice fields
    - 2 decimal fields
    - 1 multi-choice field
    - 1 single-object field
7 Productions and branding

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

Relativity can image and produce your documents when review completes. Production is a Relativity Dynamic Object (RDO), and you can use different data sources instead of a mass operation to select the documents to produce. This means that you can set up a production set with a data source during any stage of document review so that you can click a button to stage and run the production when ready.

All times were measured using the timing information from the audits on the production set object.

7.1 Staging production results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th># of documents</th>
<th># of data sources</th>
<th>Total Stage Time (mm:ss)</th>
<th>Docs/sec</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>99,683</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2:01</td>
<td>823.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.2 Branding production results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Average family size</th>
<th>Production time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Branding time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Images/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Bates</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>00:01:00</td>
<td>2:25:20</td>
<td>145,866.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Bates with Relational Attachment</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>00:01:16</td>
<td>2:32:07</td>
<td>139,163.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Bates</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>00:01:03</td>
<td>2:26:39</td>
<td>144,556.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original Bates with Relational Attachment</td>
<td>1.567</td>
<td>00:01:15</td>
<td>2:27:26</td>
<td>143,788.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.3 Production import results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Import time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Images/hr</th>
<th>Avg metadata transfer rate (MB/sec)</th>
<th>Avg SQL process rate (Docs/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Images only</td>
<td>00:57:16</td>
<td>370,183</td>
<td>46.27 MB/sec</td>
<td>453 Docs/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.4 Production export results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Export time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Documents/hr</th>
<th>Avg metadata transfer rate (KB/sec)</th>
<th>Avg file transfer rate (MB/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Images only</td>
<td>00:20:06</td>
<td>298,800</td>
<td>20.39 MB/sec</td>
<td>5.31 MB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives only</td>
<td>00:11:11</td>
<td>534,811</td>
<td>22.50 MB/sec</td>
<td>5.12 MB/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natives &amp; Images</td>
<td>00:34:36</td>
<td>172,861</td>
<td>4.58 MB/sec</td>
<td>17.01 MB/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.5 Staging and Branding settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Staging and Branding settings</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td># of documents in production set</td>
<td>99,683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Images</td>
<td>290,148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branding Agents</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Files to Produce</td>
<td>Images Only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attachment Relational Field</td>
<td>Group Identifier</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production Numbering</td>
<td>Page Level Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left Footer</td>
<td>Production Bates Number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right Footer</td>
<td>Control Number</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.6 Production import settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production imports settings</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy option</td>
<td>No Copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.opt file</td>
<td>32.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Transfer Mode</td>
<td>Direct Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of images</td>
<td>353,320</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7.7 Production export settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Production export settings</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Copy option</td>
<td>Copy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Production export settings</td>
<td>Value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File Transfer Mode</td>
<td>Direct Mode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of exported documents</td>
<td>99,683</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about the data set details, see Data set details on page 26.
For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see Environment details on page 27.

7.8 Performance recommendations

Because productions rely on saved searches, when constructing the searches used as processing data sources, follow best practices for searching in Relativity.
8 Imaging

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

Imaging uses Invariant workers to complete both basic and native imaging. Testing was performed for native imaging only. All imaging tests were submitted through an imaging set and times recorded from audits on the imaging set.

8.1 Imaging results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test scenario</th>
<th>Images created</th>
<th>Imaging time (hh:mm:ss)</th>
<th>Images/hr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Native imaging – 2 worker servers</td>
<td>291,655</td>
<td>02:31:00</td>
<td>115,736</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

8.2 Imaging settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Imaging settings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Document Pool</td>
<td>99,275</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average images/document</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image Type</td>
<td>TIFF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imaging Set Basic image output Quality (DPI)</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic Image Size</td>
<td>Original Setting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more information about the data set details, see Data set details on page 26.

For more information about the environment configuration for this test, see Environment details on page 27.

8.3 Performance recommendations

Imaging does not currently have any performance recommendations.

**Note:** Running the exact same imaging set twice may result in a different number of documents. This is a known issue with the vendor software.
9 Mass conversion

The following table provides mass conversion performance via the service bus:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Release</th>
<th>Relativity 9.5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platform</td>
<td>Service Bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oracle Version</td>
<td>8.5.32016.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Docs converted</td>
<td>99,689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># of Workers/Agents</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Conversion Duration (hh:mm:ss)</td>
<td>00:09:00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
10 Processing

The performance baselines and recommendations documentation is meant to be used as a reference to track overall Relativity performance from version to version. It should not be used as a benchmark of what you expect to see in a production client environment due to differences in data, infrastructure, and configuration. The results may not scale linearly. For more information, see Performance baselines and metrics considerations.

Relativity’s processing feature allows you to ingest raw data directly into your workspace without the need for an external tool. The following details apply to the data on this page:

- The performance speeds included below are based on tests conducted against a specific environment setup. For the details of this setup, see Processing environment details on page 29.
- We recommend that you conduct performance tests against your current environment setup, compare your speeds to those included on this page, and then adjust your environment accordingly, if necessary.
- Performance baseline calculations use the discovered file size, not the source data file size (e.g., File Size—after Discovery / [Discovery Time + Publish Time]).

To obtain the folders containing the EDRM and Real World test data sets, email support@relativity.com.

10.1 Processing profile and data source specifications

The processing profile used in the job that generated these performance baselines had the following specifications. The only field that was edited to something other than its default value was the Auto-publish set field, which was set to Yes.

- Default time zone - UTC Coordinated Universal Time
- Defaults OCR languages - English
- DeNIST - Yes
- DeNIST mode - DeNIST all files
- Extract children - Yes
- When extracting children, do not extract - <blank>
- Excel header/footer extraction - Do not extract
- OCR - Enabled
- OCR accuracy - Medium (average speed)
- OCR text separator - Enabled
- Auto-publish set - Yes
- Deduplication method - None
- Default document numbering prefix - REL
Performance Baselines and Recommendations

- Default destination folder - <name of workspace>
- Do you want to use source folder structure - Yes

Note: As of Relativity 9.5, the number of mapped fields has been increased to 125 to provide a more representative metric. Due to this change, all end-to-end Processing metrics take 10% longer.

In addition to the above settings, all of the available optional fields were mapped prior to running the job. For more information on the processing profile fields, see the Relativity Processing User Guide.

The test data used to generate these performance baselines (the Real World data set) was selected for the Source Path field on the processing data source. Note the following details of the Real World data set:

- File size - 60 GB
- File count - 100,000 assorted files
  - 70% emails - 42 GB of various PSTs and loose emails
  - 15% Office files - 10 GB of Excels, Word, PPTs,
  - 10% PDF - 6GB PDFs
  - 5% other (CAD files, Text files) - ~3GB

For information, see the Relativity Processing User Guide and Processing environment details on page 29.

10.2 Discover + Publish performance baselines

All time entries in the following table appear in the (hh:mm) format.

The following graphic depicts how many GB per hour are both discovered and published, per data set, per tier of workstation setup.

10.2.1 Real World data set details

The following graphic represents the Real World Data set only; it depicts the breakdown of total time between discover and publish times, per tier of workstation setup for the Real World Data Set only.
10.3 Discover + Publish performance baselines - Data Grid

All time entries in the following table appear in the (hh:mm) format.

The following graphic depicts how many GB per hour are both discovered and published, per data set, per tier of workstation setup.

10.3.1 Real world data set details - Data Grid

The following graphic represents the Real World Data set only; it depicts the breakdown of total time between discover and publish times, per tier of workstation setup for the Real World Data Set only.
10.4 Inventory performance baselines

Inventory allows you to narrow down your files before discovering them by eliminating irrelevant raw data from the discovery process through a variety of preliminary filters. With inventory you can exclude certain file types, file locations, file sizes, NIST files, date ranges, and sender domains. Doing this gives you a less-cluttered data set when you begin to discover your files.

10.4.1 Inventory settings

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inventory settings</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Data Set</strong></td>
<td>Real World Data Set</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source file Size</strong></td>
<td>60 GB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Source File Count</strong></td>
<td>100k Assorted Files</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inventoried Documents</strong></td>
<td>688,620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The following list contains the file breakdown of the Source File Size:

- 70% emails - 42 GB of various PSTs and loose emails
- 15% Office files - 10 GB of Excels, Word, PPTs,
- 10% PDF - 6GB PDFs
- 5% other (CAD files, Text files) - ~3GB
**Note:** There is almost no contention on the file share during the publish phase, but there is some SQL contention as data is inserted into the workspace database. SQL processor utilization will increase during the publishing phase.

The following graphic depicts how many GB per hour are processed by how many workers present in each tier of workstation setup.

10.5 Performance recommendations

- **Worker Threads** - by default and if enough memory is available (750 MB/thread), Processing will run two worker threads per CPU core on each worker server up to 16 worker threads per worker machine. Further improvements could be realized by scaling horizontally with multiple worker machines.

- **Worker Machines** - when scaling Relativity Processing Worker machines horizontally, it is equally important to scale the file server if you want to continue to see linear improvements in performance. It will do no good to put 20+ worker machines online if your file server does not have the available IOPS to support them.

- **Invariant Queue** - during discovery, the Invariant Queue Manager, workers, and default file share for the workspace are heavily utilized. CPU, network, and disk resources should be closely monitored, to detect and prevent any issues which may impact performance for other processes in the system, particularly review and imaging.

- **Deleting a processing set** - this tasks runs as a background process, and will only proceed while other activity is not ongoing for the data store. This process may take days or weeks for large data sets or busy servers. If the data deletion needs to proceed more quickly, we recommend creating the following index in the relevant data store:
  
  - `CREATE INDEX [IX_Matter_Storageld] ON [dbo].[Matter] ([Storageld])`

**Note:** This index must be dropped or disabled prior to further processing work using that data store.
- **Scaling single sets** - in a workspace, you can horizontally run up to eight workers on a single processing set. If you run heavy imaging and processing loads, set up dedicated imaging workers. The maximum of eight workers applies only to a single processing set.
11 Data set details

The following are the details of the data set on which the performance tests were run:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data set name</th>
<th>File count</th>
<th>Compressed Size (GB)</th>
<th>Expanded Size (GB)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EDRM Enron V2</td>
<td>1,104,949</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>118.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Real World</td>
<td>1,040,627</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>107.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## 12 Environment details

The following table provides Relativity environment server specifications:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SQL*   | 256         | 32    | - Dell PowerEdge R720xd Rack Server  
- 2 x Intel E5-2650 v2 2.6Ghz 8C Processors  
- 256GB 1866Mhz RDIMM (16 x 16GB)  
- 18 x 600GB 10K SAS Hard Drives, 4 x 1.2TB 10K SAS Drives, 4 x 400GB SATA MLC Solid State Drives  
- Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter  
- PERC H710P RAID Controller |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cache</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Dell Poweredge R820 Rack Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 x Intel E5-4620 v2 2.6Ghz 8C Processors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virtual File</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>128GB 1866Mhz RDIMM (16 x 8GB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 x 600GB 10K SAS Hard Drives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Note 1</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>PERC H710P RAID Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Node 2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Node 3</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent 1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent 2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invariant SQL</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Master Node</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Client Node 1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Client Node 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Node 4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Node 5</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Grid - Data Node 6</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Worker*</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dell Poweredge R420 Rack Server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Intel E5-2420 v2 2.2Ghz 6C Processors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16GB 1600Mhz RDIMM (4 x 4GB)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1 x 400GB SATA MLC Solid State Drives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onboard Dual Gigabit and Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT Network Adapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PERC H310 RAID Controller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The Relativity SQL Server and worker server are physical machines. All others are VM's.
13 Processing environment details

The tables below provide details on the tier 1 and 2 environments on which the processing performance tests were conducted. These environments falls into the environments outlined on the processing system requirements page. See Processing system requirements in the System requirements guide.

Note: As of Relativity 9.5, the file server has been replaced with a VM-based file server to provide a more representative testing environment. This configuration change had a minor impact on Processing metrics (<5%).

13.1 Tier 1 environment specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| SQL *           | 128         | 16    | Dell PowerEdge R720xd  
|                 |             |       | 2 x Intel E5-2650 2.6Ghz 8 Core Processor  
|                 |             |       | 16 x 16GB (256GB) 1866MHz RDIMM Memory  
|                 |             |       | Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter  
|                 |             |       | PERC H710P RAID Controller                                                  |
| Web             | 4           | 4     | Split between two of the following:                                          |
|                 |             |       | Dell PowerEdge R820  
|                 |             |       | 4 x Intel E5-4620 v2 3.3Ghz 8C Processors  
|                 |             |       | 16 x 8GB (128GB) 1866MHz RDIMM Memory  
|                 |             |       | Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter  
|                 |             |       | PERC H710P RAID Controller                                                  |
| Agent           | 4           | 4     |
| File            | 4           | 4     |
| Search/CA>Loading | 32       | 4     |
| Invariant SQL   | 16          | 4     |
### Performance Baselines and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Worker *</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>8</td>
<td><strong>physical</strong> 16 logical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Dell PowerEdge R420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Intel E5-2420 v2 2.2Ghz 6C Processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4 x 4GB (16GB) 1600Mhz RDIMM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Onboard Dual Gigabit and Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT Network Adapter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 13.2 Tier 2 environment specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SQL *</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>Dell PowerEdge R720xd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2 x Intel E5-2650 2.6Ghz 8 Core Processor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16 x 16GB (256GB) 1866MHz RDIMM Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PERC H710P RAID Controller</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Split between two of the following:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agent</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dell PowerEdge R820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4 x Intel E5-4620 v2 3.3Ghz 8C Processors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search/CA&gt;Loading</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16 x 8GB (128GB) 1866MHz RDIMM Memory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invariant SQL</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT / 2x1Gb BT Network Adapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PERC H710P RAID Controller</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance Baselines and Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Server</th>
<th>Memory (GB)</th>
<th>Cores</th>
<th>Host details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Worker * | 16          | 8 physical 16 logical | Dell PowerEdge R420
|         |             | 2 x Intel E5-2420 v2 2.2Ghz 6C Processor | 4 x 4GB (16GB) 1600Mhz RDIMM
|         |             | Onboard Dual Gigabit and Broadcom 57800 2x10Gb BT Network Adapter |

* The Relativity SQL Server and worker servers are physical machines. All others are VM's.

Note the following additional details about Relativity’s performance testing:

- **Network topology**
  - Relativity runs performance testing is run on multiple identical environments. Each environment consists of a physical SQL server and two ESXi hosts. The physical SQL is used for Relativity SQL, the ESXi hosts are used for hosting virtual machines which make up the other components of the Relativity environment. Shared components include the physical file servers and physical Invariant workers. All physical servers communicate over a 10Gbps network.

- **Hard disk drive speed**
  - The storage used is either 10K SAS HDD or SSD. We recommend accounting for RAID type and number of disks in each RAID group. Relativity was deliberate in creating RAID groups, in that we optimized each RAID group for its purpose.

- **Bandwidth between physical servers**
  - All physical servers in the environment are connected to a 10Gbps switch.

- **Performance between physical and virtual servers**
  - Performance difference between physical and virtual can vary from none to about 10%. CPU-intensive workloads such as SQL perform better on a network in which a virtual machine is installed directly on hardware rather than within the host operating system (OS). Note that Relativity SQL and Invariant workers are not virtualized and that virtual CPU and memory are not over-allocated in the environment, which eliminates CPU and memory contention on the ESXi hosts.

For more details on Relativity's performance testing environments, contact Support.
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